1 2 3 4	PAUL R. GUPTA (<i>Pro Hac Vice</i>) CLIFFORD R. MICHEL (<i>Pro Hac Vice</i>) ORRICK, HERRINGTON & SUTCLIFFE LL 666 Fifth Avenue New York, NY 10103 Telephone: (212) 506-5000 Facsimile: (212) 506-5151	P		
5 6 7 8 9	SEAN A. LINCOLN (STATE BAR NO. 1363: ORRICK, HERRINGTON & SUTCLIFFE LL The Orrick Building 405 Howard Street San Francisco, CA 94105-2669 Telephone: (415) 773-5700 Facsimile: (415) 773-5759 Attorneys for Plaintiff	87) P		
10	NCR CORPORATION			
11	I DUTED OT A TES	P. DICTRICT COLUDT		
12	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT			
13	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA			
14	SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION			
15	NCR CORPORATION, a Maryland	Case No. C 06-5599 JL		
16	Corporation Plaintiff,	AMENDED COMPLAINT		
17	v.	DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL		
18	ZAPPOS.COM, INC., a California			
19	Corporation Corporation			
20	Defendant.			
21	- de			
22	Plaintiff NCR Corporation ("Plaintiff" a	nd "NCR"), as and for its Amended Complaint		
23	against Defendant Zappos.com, Inc. ("Defendant" and "Zappos"), by its undersigned counsel,			
24	hereby alleges as follows:			
25	<u>PARTIES</u>			
26	1. Plaintiff NCR Corporation is a Maryland corporation with its principal place of			
27	business located at 1700 South Patterson Boulevard, Dayton, Ohio 45479-0001.			
28				
Ĭ		AMENDED COMPLAINT		

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	

2. On information and belief, Defendant Zappos is a California corporation with its principal place of business located at 2280 Corporate Circle, Suite 100, Henderson, Nevada 89074.

JURISDICTION

3. The following claims for patent infringement arise under the Patent Laws of the United States, 35 U.S.C. §§ 281 *et seq*. This Court has jurisdiction over the subject matter of these claims pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1338(a).

VENUE

4. Venue is proper in this judicial district pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1400(b), because the Defendant resides in this judicial district.

STATEMENT OF FACTS

- 5. Plaintiff NCR is the owner by assignment of the following patents (referred to collectively below as the "NCR Patents"):
 - a. U.S. Patent No. 5,951,643 (the "'643 Patent"), issued on September 14, 1999, entitled "Mechanism For Dependably Organizing And Managing Information For Web Synchronization and Tracking among Multiple Browsers";
 - b. U.S. Patent No. 5,991,791 (the "'791 Patent"), issued on November 23, 1999, entitled "Security Aspects Of Computer Resource Repositories";
 - c. U.S. Patent No. 6,253,203 (the "'203 Patent"), issued on June 26, 2001, entitled "Privacy-Enhanced Database";
 - d. U.S. Patent No. 6,480,855 (the "'855 Patent"), issued on November 12, 2002, entitled "Managing A Resource On A Network Where Each Resource Has An Associated Profile With An Image";
 - e. U.S. Patent No. 6,502,096 (the "'096 Patent"), issued on December 31, 2002, entitled "Computerized Asset Management System"; and
 - f. U.S. Patent No. 6,519,600 (the "'600 Patent"), issued on February 11, 2003, entitled "Computerized Asset Management System".

1

21

23

24

25

26

27

28

SECOND CLAIM FOR RELIEF

(Infringement of U.S. Patent No. 5,991,791)

- 10. Plaintiff NCR incorporates by reference the factual allegations set forth in paragraphs 1 9 above as if set forth herein in full.
- 11. Plaintiff NCR states that, to the best of its knowledge, information, and belief, formed after an inquiry reasonable under the circumstances, it will likely be able to fully prove after a reasonable opportunity for further investigation or discovery that:
 - (a) In violation of 35 U.S.C. § 271(a), (b), and/or (c), Defendant Zappos, has infringed and is infringing the '791 Patent by making, using, selling, or offering to sell the inventions protected by one or more claims of the '791 Patent and/or has induced or contributed to infringement of the '791 Patent;
 - (b) Defendant Zappos's infringement of NCR's '791 Patent as set forth herein has been and is deliberate and willful, making this an exceptional case within the meaning of 35 U.S.C. § 285; and
 - (c) The infringement by Zappos of NCR's '791 Patent has caused and will continue to cause NCR monetary damage and irreparable harm for which it has no adequate remedy at law.

THIRD CLAIM FOR RELIEF

(Infringement of U.S. Patent No. 6,253,203)

- 12. Plaintiff NCR incorporates by reference the factual allegations set forth in paragraphs 1 11 above as if set forth herein in full.
- 13. Plaintiff NCR states that, to the best of its knowledge, information, and belief, formed after an inquiry reasonable under the circumstances, it will likely be able to fully prove after a reasonable opportunity for further investigation or discovery that:
 - (a) In violation of 35 U.S.C. § 271(a), (b), and/or (c), Defendant Zappos, has infringed and is infringing the '203 Patent by making, using, selling, or offering to sell the inventions protected by one or more claims of the '203 Patent, and/or has induced or contributed to infringement of the '203 Patent;

1	j
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	1
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	

- (b) Defendant Zappos's infringement of NCR's '203 Patent as set forth herein has been and is deliberate and willful, making this an exceptional case within the meaning of 35 U.S.C. § 285; and
- The infringement by Zappos, of NCR's '203 Patent has caused and will (c) continue to cause NCR monetary damage and irreparable harm for which it has no adequate remedy at law.

FOURTH CLAIM FOR RELIEF (Infringement of U.S. Patent No. 6,480,855)

- 14. Plaintiff NCR incorporates by reference the factual allegations set forth in paragraphs 1 - 13 above as if set forth herein in full.
- Plaintiff NCR states that, to the best of its knowledge, information, and belief, 15. formed after an inquiry reasonable under the circumstances, it will likely be able to fully prove after a reasonable opportunity for further investigation or discovery that:
 - In violation of 35 U.S.C. § 271(a), (b), and/or (c), Defendant Zappos, has (a) infringed and is infringing the '855 Patent by making, using, selling, or offering to sell the inventions protected by one or more claims of the '855 Patent, and/or has induced or contributed to infringement of the '855 Patent;
 - Defendant Zappos's infringement of NCR's '855 Patent as set forth herein (b) has been and is deliberate and willful, making this an exceptional case within the meaning of 35 U.S.C. § 285; and
 - The infringement by Zappos, of NCR's '855 Patent has caused and will continue to cause NCR monetary damage and irreparable harm for which it has no adequate remedy at law.

FIFTH CLAIM FOR RELIEF

(Infringement of U.S. Patent No. 6,502,096)

Plaintiff NCR incorporates by reference the factual allegations set forth in 16. paragraphs 1 - 15 above as if set forth herein in full.

27

1,...

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

- 17. Plaintiff NCR states that, to the best of its knowledge, information, and belief, formed after an inquiry reasonable under the circumstances, it will likely be able to fully prove after a reasonable opportunity for further investigation or discovery that:
 - (a) In violation of 35 U.S.C. § 271(a), (b), and/or (c), Defendant Zappos, has infringed and is infringing the '096 Patent by making, using, selling, or offering to sell the inventions protected by one or more claims of the '096 Patent and/or has induced or contributed to infringement of the '096 Patent;
 - (b) Defendant Zappos's infringement of NCR's '096 Patent as set forth herein has been and is deliberate and willful, making this an exceptional case within the meaning of 35 U.S.C. § 285; and
 - (c) The infringement by Zappos, of NCR's '096 Patent has caused and will continue to cause NCR monetary damage and irreparable harm for which it has no adequate remedy at law.

SIXTH CLAIM FOR RELIEF (Infringement of U.S. Patent No. 6,519,600)

- 18. Plaintiff NCR incorporates by reference the factual allegations set forth in paragraphs 1 17 above as if set forth herein in full.
- 19. Plaintiff NCR states that, to the best of its knowledge, information, and belief, formed after an inquiry reasonable under the circumstances, it will likely be able to fully prove after a reasonable opportunity for further investigation or discovery that:
 - (a) In violation of 35 U.S.C. § 271(a), (b), and/or (c), Defendant Zappos has infringed and is infringing the '600 Patent by making, using, selling, or offering to sell the inventions protected by one or more claims of the '600 Patent and/or has induced or contributed to infringement of the '600 Patent;
 - (b) Defendant Zappos's infringement of NCR's '600 Patent as set forth herein has been and is deliberate and willful, making this an exceptional case within the meaning of 35 U.S.C. § 285, and

1	Pursuant to Rule 38(b) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, NCR hereby demands	s a
2	trial by jury of all issues so triable.	
3		
4	Dated: November 14, 2006 PAUL R. GUPTA CLIFFORD R. MICHEL	
5	SEAN A. LINCOLN ORRICK, HERRINGTON & SUTCLIFFE LLP	
6	ORRICK, HERRANGTON & SOTELLITE ELLI	
7	for 2 botton	
8	Paul R. Gupta	
9	Paul R. Gupta Attorneys for Plaintiff NCR CORPORATION	
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		